

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/743,589 | Applicant(s) SORENSEN, ELMGAARD BIRGER | |
| | Examiner Donald Heckenberg | Art Unit 1722 | |

All Participants:

- (1) Alan Fanucci (Applicant's Rep.).
 (2) Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr.).

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21 and 26

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exmr. Heckenberg noted problem with claim 26 defining nits separator in light of most recent amendment to claim 21 which also defined the separator. Exmr. Heckenberg proposed canceling claim 26, to which Mr. Fanucci agreed.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)